IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE FIFTEENTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MS JUSTICE G.ROHINI

WRIT PETITION NO : 8309 of 2005

Between:

Sardar Manjit Singh, S/o. Jagan Singh,
R/O. H.No. 2-3-95, Kaman Road, Prem Lodge,

Karimnagar, Karimnagar district.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 Municipal Commissioner/Special Officer, Karimnagar

Municipality, Bus Stand Road,
Karimnagar, Karimnagar District.
2 District Collector, Karimnagar District, Collectorate Complex,
Karimnagar.
3 Divisional Engineer, A.P.S.E.B., Opposite: District Court,

Karimnagar, Karimnagar District.
4 Principal Secretary to Government of Andhra Pradesh,

Municipal Administration Department,
Secretariat, Hyderabad.
5 Director of Town & Country Planning, Masab Tank, Hyderabad.
6 Regional Director, Town Planning, Warangal Region,

Warangal, Warangal District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or direction, preferably one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the respondents in not considering the representation of the Petitioner dated 18-02-2005 as arbitrary, illegal and contrary to law and consequently direct the respondents to consider the said representation and

take appropriate action in the matter in accordance with law forthwith.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.G.VISHWESHWAR REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: MR.POLISETTI RADHAKRISHNA (SC FOR MPL)

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: GOVERNMENT PLEADER FOR MUNICIPALITY

Counsel for the Respondent No.3: V.AJAY KUMAR (SC FOR A.P. TRANSCO)

This Court at the stage of admission made the following :

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed aggrieved by the alleged inaction on the part of the respondents in considering the representation made by the petitioner on 18.02.2005.

It is alleged that certain unauthorized constructions are being made in Sy.No.836 of Ward No.24 of Karimnagar Municipality and that though the petitioner has brought the said aspects to the notice of the Municipality, the respondents failed to take any action. Hence, this writ petition.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned standing counsel appearing for the first respondent, without expressing any opinion as to the correctness of the allegations made, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the first respondent to consider the representation made by the petitioner, dated 18.02.2005, and to pass appropriate orders in accordance with law, after affording due opportunity to all the parties concerned.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. No costs.

_____

G.ROHINI, J

15.04.2005

Note:

Furnish C.C. by

One week.

B/o

DR

To

1 Municipal Commissioner/Special Officer, Karimnagar

Municipality, Bus Stand Road,
Karimnagar, Karimnagar District.
2 District Collector, Karimnagar District, Collectorate Complex,
Karimnagar.
3 Divisional Engineer, A.P.S.E.B., Opposite: District Court,

Karimnagar, Karimnagar District.
4 Principal Secretary to Government of Andhra Pradesh,

Municipal Administration Department,
Secretariat, Hyderabad.
5 Director of Town & Country Planning, Masab Tank, Hyderabad.
6 Regional Director, Town Planning, Warangal Region,

Warangal, Warangal District.

7 2 CCs to Government Pleader for Municipality,

High Court Buildings, Hyderbad. (OUT)

8 2 CD copies

9 1 CC to MR.VISHWESHWAR REDDY